

Thanku

[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/2347 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date :

4 JUL 2016

C.A. No. 43/2016 IN O.A. No. 649/2015.
(Sub :-Cancellation of Promotion)

1. Smt. Ranjana S. Parashar,
W/at. Matron, Sasson General Hospital, Pune.
R/o. Pune.

....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

- 1 Smt. Medha Gadgil, Addl. Chief Secretary, Medical Education & Drugs Dept., The Govt. of Maha., Having Office at G.T. Hospital, New Bldg., L.T. Marg, Mumbai-01.
- 2 Dr. Parvin Shingare, The Director of Medical Education & Research, M.S., Mumbai, Having Office at Govt. Dental College & Hospital Bldg., 4th Floor, St. George Hospital Campus, Mumbai-1.
- 3 Shri Bhagwan Sahai, The Principal Secretary, G.A.D., The State of Maha., Having Office at Mantralaya Mumbai-32.
- 4 Shri Swadhin Kshatriya, The Chief Secretary, State of Maha., Having Office at Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

*The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 30th day of **June, 2016** has made the following order:-*

APPEARANCE : Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Applicant.
Smt. Archana B.K., P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.**

DATE : **30.06.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

**Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.**

(8)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**A ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

versus

ate of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.06.2016.

C.A.No.43 of 2016 in O.A.No.649 of 2015

R.S. Parashar Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant states as follows :-

Leave may be granted to withdraw the application, to enable applicant to serve fresh notice on the respondents, as regard their failure to comply with the order and caution that the contemnors would be personally responsible for the action, and in the event order is not complied with then to file Contempt Petition afresh.

3. With liberty to file fresh application for action under the provisions of Contempt of Courts Act 1971, this application is allowed to withdraw.

sd/
(A.H. Joshi,
Chairman

prk

TRUE COPY

Asstt. Registrar/Research Officer
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Mumbai.